

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The Role of Meteorological Models in the Prediction of Weather Hazards - the European Approach

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/68167> since

Publisher:

Springer

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/978-90-481-2344-5

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

ISBN 978-90-481-2336-0



NATO Science for Peace and Security Series - C:
Environmental Security

Threats to Global Water Security

Edited by
J. Anthony A. Jones
Trahel G. Vardanian
Christina Hakopian

 Springer



This chapter was published in the above Springer book. The attached copy is furnished to the author for non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the author's institution, sharing with colleagues and providing to institution administration.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the chapter (e.g. in Word or TEX form) to their personal website or institutional repository.

C. Cassardo*

*Department of General Physics “Amedeo Avogadro”,
University of Torino, Italy*

Abstract. It is a matter of fact that the economic damage and the casualties provoked by extreme meteorological events have dramatically increased in the last few decades, and that, in the last 30 years, a remarkable part of this increment is caused by the increased frequency of extreme meteorological events. The reasons for such an increase are not simply related to the climatic changes, but also to the intensive exploitation of the land resources like unauthorized building, diffuse urbanization, river canalization, intensive agriculture etc., which has made them much more vulnerable today than in the past. In this context, the use of advanced meteorological instruments could surely give help in the forecasting and in the prevention of extreme phenomena and of their consequences. However, the greatest improvement in extreme events prediction can be achieved by the use of numerical models, which constitute an essential means in the aim of improving the forecast of the extreme events and the correlated hydrogeological assessment. The paper, after a short introduction on the numerical models, contains some considerations on the importance of land surface conditions and of surface layer parameterizations in order to produce a reasonably accurate prediction of some extreme dry (droughts or heat waves) and wet (floods) events. Among the surface layer parameterizations, the representation of the orographic influences on the atmospheric flow is quite important for estimating the quantity and the intensity of the precipitation. It is expected that for extreme wet events the final objective would be to couple high-resolution meteorological and hydrological models, creating a “meteorological-hydrological chain”. A detailed discussion will examine the role of seasonal meteorological predictions, with a part dedicated to the Ensemble Prediction System, and to their utility for forecasting the hydrological variables. Finally, a reflection in the last section is dedicated to the problem of the communication of meteorological and hydrological risks to the public.

Keywords: Flood, drought, heat wave, GCM, LAM, LSPM

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: cassardo@ph.unito.it

Numerical weather prediction can be considered as an application of the experimental Galilean method (Pasini, 2005) in which, due to the complexity and the uniqueness of the terrestrial atmosphere, and thus the impossibility of conducting real experiments, the computer can be seen as a *virtual laboratory*. This idea allows us to develop numerical models in which a set of differential equations, each one representing a portion of theory describing a portion of reality, are composed in a mathematical model constituting one or more coupled system of equations. The variables of these equations have a correspondence in the real system where they can be measured, giving some realistic initial values to each model variable, indicating boundary conditions, and numerically solving these equations, it is possible to get a prediction of the most relevant thermodynamic and dynamic parameters in the atmosphere.

This approach was used for the first time by Lewis Richardson in 1915, but at that time computers did not exist and also the theory to ensure the numerical stability of the solutions was not yet discovered, thus his attempt was not successful. At the beginning of 1950, John von Neuman and Jule Charney pioneered the use of the electronic computer for weather forecasting at the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton University. They used a primitive computer, much less powerful than today's personal computers, but they succeeded in forecasting the horizontal air geopotential height pattern at 500 hPa over Northern America.

A meteorological model consists of a series of prognostic differential equations for the main meteorological variables (wind velocity, temperature, humidity and pressure): conservations of momentum, energy and water, and continuity equations. Some numerical computer models of the atmosphere are designed to operate over different spatial scales depending on the forecast range. For example, a model for short-range forecasts (up to 3 days) can cover an area extending to a continent and needs as initial conditions the observations carried out only in that restricted area. On the other hand, a model designed for medium-range forecasts (up to 10 days) is generally a global model and thus needs observational data from all over the globe, since within such a forecast range, a weather system can travel distances comparable to the Earth's radius.

The equations included in the first generation models were simple and the resolution was very coarse, thus these models were able to diagnose only some large-scale phenomena affecting continental areas. With the continuous increase in computer power, the equations used became more complete, the resolution was increased and the dataset used for the model initialization was also more dense both in time and space. Furthermore, some numerical techniques were developed to assess more stable and accurate solutions. In 1979, the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Fore-

component of the model forecast chain which has been considerably improved is the data initialization. Actually, the ECMWF model can provide the meteorological data on a grid whose size at the latitude of 45° is approximately 25 km, while in 1979 the grid size was only about 12 km. This means that the ECMWF GCM (General Circulation Model) can actually be used to initialize a mesoscale model able to run over a grid of few kilometres. The correctness of the predicted fields also improved almost regularly over the years (see Figs. 1 and 2). The accuracy of weather forecasts provided by the mesoscale models is even greater, normally limited to the next 2–3 days, because the domain is too small to allow longer runs.




Figure 1. Improvement of the anomaly correlation of the 500 hPa geopotential height depending on the forecast day for the two hemispheres in the period 1981–2007 for the ECMWF GCM (adapted from CSAEOS, 2008)




Figure 2. Reduction of Northern Hemisphere systematic 500 hPa geopotential height for the fifth day of forecast in the period 1981–2006 for the ECMWF GCM (courtesy of ECMWF)

rate predictions at the mesoscale and at the local scale. Thus, in principle, it can be possible to provide some alerts in the case in which some extreme and hazardous events are predicted in the medium-term. In the category of extreme hazardous or extreme events are included floods or severe rainfalls, typhoons, hurricanes or tropical cyclones, extreme winds, but also prolonged drought episodes or heat waves.

The normal period of time in which a deterministic weather forecast can have an adequate validity is normally 3–5 days, depending on the weather situation and on the local characteristics, but using some methodologies, such as the Ensemble Predictions Systems, it is possible to have an idea about the probability of occurrence of a determinate forecast even for the next 5 days. Forecasts over longer periods are currently experimented by some Meteorological Services.

In this paper, the reader will find some information on the most recent developments regarding some characteristics of the numerical models used in meteorology, and a conclusive note showing their importance in the predictions of natural hazards.

2. The prediction of heat waves and drought conditions

During June, July and August 2003, an exceptional heat wave affected western and central Europe. The extreme drought and heat had heavy social, economic and environmental impacts, among them the death of thousands of the elderly. Other serious consequences were the destruction of thousands of forest areas by fire, the depletion of aquatic ecosystems, the retreat of glaciers, power cuts and transport restrictions, and a decrease in agriculture production. In recent years, the number of such extremes was increasing, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2001), and Meehl and Tebaldi (2004) also said that it is possible that the number of heat waves similar to that of 2003 will increase in the future. As the long-range forecasts (greater than 1 month) for this phenomenon were not successful, it is thus important to try to understand which mechanisms can exacerbate or guide this kind of event.

In the specific case of the European heat wave in the summer of 2003, it has been shown that the synoptic circulation induced by the persistence of an anomalously strong anticyclone extended over Western Europe for most of the period May–August 2003 drove hot air from North Africa, produced strong subsidence motions on the right-hand side of the anticyclone (over central and Western Europe) during most of the time (see for instance Cassardo et al., 2007). The enhancement of the adiabatic compression related to these synoptic motions contributed to the observed temperature

which caused low soil moisture values to be observed already at the beginning of the heat wave. This fact is not surprising as, according to Black and Sutton (2007), the soil water content in Europe's Mediterranean regions plays a critical role in the climate regulation across Europe and the development of climate anomalies over Europe.

A thorough understanding of the physical mechanisms of climate variability is a baseline for their subsequent incorporation in the meteorological and climatological models. For instance, the ECMWF short-, medium- and long-range (up to 30 days) forecasts were successful in inferring the features of the large-scale flow as well as the temperature anomalies, but the seasonal predictions were a failure, even considering the EPS (Section 5). Grazzini et al. (2003) suggested that this problem could be due to a combination of (i) failure to predict the observed large Sea Surface Temperature (SST) anomalies in the Indian Ocean, and (ii) the aforementioned dependence on the soil moisture. The continuous refinement of the physical processes in the numerical atmospheric models could allow for a more accurate prediction of such extreme phenomena.

3. The importance of land surface conditions and of surface layer parameterizations

In the last 2 decades, it has been recognized that a necessary boundary condition for all Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) modeling is the representation of the land surface processes. They are crucial in short-term weather forecasts as well as for climatic predictions, as the Earth's surface can influence the partition between sensible and latent heat fluxes and consequently the atmospheric stability is related to the surface properties. In this respect, leaving aside the surface and land characteristics, which are a key factor for the surface processes, soil temperature and especially soil moisture constitute very important parameters. In fact, the Earth's surface is the main source of energy and moisture, which regulate the atmospheric motions. Wrong estimates of those parameters lead to wrongly simulated variables in the surface layer, especially for the phenomena related to convection, and thus the forecasts of atmospheric stability and precipitation amount could have a low reliability. Nevertheless, the soil temperature and moisture, as well as sensible and latent heat fluxes, are not measured extensively or for long periods, and also satellite estimates are difficult to generalize over areas with different vegetation cover or types. Thus, the main problem in weather forecasting is the initialization of soil temperature and moisture for the model simulation. Therefore, in the last few years, there have been many attempts to evaluate these parameters in the absence of

running for a sufficiently long time a SVAT model over a multitude of synoptic meteorological stations included in a mesoscale area, and averaging the model predictions of soil moisture and temperatures. This method proved successful in improving the rainfall maxima during an episode of strong convection that occurred near the Alps (Cassardo et al., 2002a), showing that the initial soil moisture field can affect precipitation predictability in short-term weather forecasts. Another method, which is a linearized variational technique for the analysis of total soil water content via the assimilation of screen-level observations (Balsamo et al., 2003) has been applied to the European data and also showed the importance of soil moisture initialization for improving the skill of model predictions of precipitation at large scale.

4. The role of the seasonal meteorological predictions and the ensemble prediction systems (EPS)

Until recent years, climate prediction had been viewed as a speculative and largely unproven venture. In fact, deterministic forecast lengths are limited by the Lorenz deterministic chaos theory to 2–3 weeks, and by the scarcity of data for the initialization to not more than 7 days, sometimes less. Deterministic predictions of seasonal mean climate based on the initial conditions are not always accurate for individual cases, even when a perfect atmospheric global circulation model and perfectly represented boundary conditions are used. More recently, when the meteorological models have been coupled with the oceanic models in order to better quantify the effects of interannual variability phenomena like the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO), seasonal forecasts of 3-month-average surface temperature and precipitation have been demonstrated to have some skill in particular sea regions, and circumstances (see for instance Shukla et al., 2000). This is the reason why some meteorological services and research institutes started a few years ago to publish some climatic prediction maps referring to the future 1–3 months. However, as it is not yet clear why seasonal predictions succeed in some instances but fail in others. These forecasts are still regarded as research products and are not routinely used by the forecasters in the decision-making process, especially for the predictions related to the hydrological variables. On the contrary, their dissemination on the web sometimes causes some confusion for the public, who suppose that they have a validity similar to the deterministic predictions (i.e. 5–7 days), and when these predictions fail the public tends to lose confidence in the quality of meteorological predictions.

a timescale, and, in my opinion, greater effort should be made in trying to explain to the general public that these products cannot be used in the same way as the short-term forecasts. From the point of view of the research, given our current knowledge about the relationships between boundary conditions and climate is still incomplete, many efforts will be required to fill this gap. For instance, Barnston et al. (2005) suggest that, for improving the quality of the climate predictions, a *two-tiered* prediction system is required: (i) to obtain a best prediction for the future boundary condition anomalies, and (ii) to specify the true probability distribution function corresponding to this boundary condition. Only when the accuracy of the seasonal climate predictions have increased, could they begin to be valued for meteorological and hydrological forecasts.

Regarding meteorological forecasts, one of the most recent and promising techniques in NWP is the development of the Ensemble Prediction Systems (EPS). These models have been recently developed in an attempt to overcome the problem of the limited temporal validity of deterministic forecasts (Ehrendorfer, 1997). As is known, these models generate an ensemble of predictions using the same model and different initial conditions, or, more recently, using the same initial conditions but different model parameterizations (Buizza et al., 2005). To deal with the increased computational demand caused by running the models several times for the same prediction, normally EPS operate at a coarser grid scale compared with a deterministic model, but the advantage of this technique is that the spread of an ensemble of forecasts, rather than a single deterministic forecast, provides a way of quantifying the uncertainty about them, because it can be converted into a probability distribution function. The EPS has been part of the ECMWF operational suite since December 1992, when it has been gradually upgraded with the implementation of the Variable Resolution Ensemble Prediction System (VAREPS). This is designed to increase the ensemble resolution in the early forecast range and to extend the forecast range covered by the ensemble system to 1 month (Buizza et al., 2007).

At present, the vast majority of flood forecasting systems are deterministic in design and input, but this is changing fast, and new programs are currently in development in Europe and in the US with the explicit aim of developing and producing ensemble forecasts that allow a realistic assessment of hydrological capacity (Demeritt et al., 2007). Examples in this sense are the expectation of an EPS for forecasting floods to be fully operational in the USA by 2013 (US National Weather Service, 2001), and the Hydrological Ensemble Prediction Experiment (Schaaake et al., 2006) sponsored by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO).

Flood forecasting is an important factor that can reduce the negative effects of flood events. A few years ago, the traditional method used to predict real-time flood events was to use a semi-distributed rainfall-runoff model (Bartholmes and Todini, 2005). Recently, to improve flood-forecasting capability, more accurate methods are in process of development. For instance, the strategic plan for the US National Weather Service (2001) is invested strongly in the development of an Advanced Hydrologic Prediction System.

Nowadays, the continuous increase in computer power has allowed the utilization of distributed hydrological models with higher resolution (cell size of 10–1,000 m). Also, meteorological models have increased their resolution in the recent years and now GCMs can run with a grid size of 10–20 km or even less using nesting techniques. Mesoscale meteorological models can produce forecasted fields at a higher resolution (some hundreds of meters), but in normal operative conditions only for 2–3 days. Thus, the aim of each meteo-hydrological system is to extend the forecasting horizon to 1 week or more, the GCMs are taken into consideration.

The gap between the resolutions required by hydrological models for a precipitation field and that furnished by the GCMs does not allow a direct coupling of the two models for an accurate prediction of the floods, especially for small- and medium-range basins. Cassardo et al. (2006) have indeed shown that it is possible to get some rough estimates of the flow increases for a large basin, such as that of the Po River in the Italian Piedmont region, during the exceptional flood of the October 2002 event, taking into consideration the runoff evaluated by a SVAT (Soil Vegetation and Atmosphere Transfer) scheme (in that case, the LSPM, Land Surface Process Model, has been used) coupled with a LAM (Limited Area Model). Nevertheless, the results reported by Ferraris et al. (2002) show that a direct coupling of meteorological and hydrological models might be considered not particularly useful, even in catchments showing scales that allow direct coupling, because of the wrong location of high precipitation clusters in the meteorological model, which could have dramatic consequences in hydrologic modelling. Incidentally, the results of Cassardo et al. (2006) who also studied the same flood episode in November 1994 in the Piedmont have shown some problems of the meteorological model in correctly locating the predicted precipitation cluster, which produced the flood in the Tanaro river basin.

Two techniques are currently used with the aim to improve the quality of the forecast. One is the Ensemble Prediction System (EPS – see Section 2.2) currently used by ECMWF and many other meteorological services, such as the German DWD (see for instance Ferraris et al., 2002, or also Bartholmes and Todini, 2005), in order to better assess the spatial and temporal variability

hydrological model. At this regard, one of the most used methods is multi-fractal theory, that, according with Gupta and Waymire (1993), can be considered a very powerful approach to nonlinear and intermittent processes like precipitation, and can reproduce simultaneously the statistical properties of real rainfall in space and time.

Following the established success of EPS in weather forecasting, several initiatives are trying to promote the application of such techniques to hydrological modelling, and in particular to real-time flood forecasting.

6. The problem of the orography and the results of the MAP project

The Mesoscale Alpine Programme (MAP) was an international research initiative supported by the WMO (World Meteorological Organization). Scientists from 13 countries all around the world have been directly involved in MAP, which was devoted to the study of atmospheric and hydrological processes over mountainous terrains. MAP started officially in 1994 with the twofold aims (i) to understand and model the physical processes on the basis of the intense meteorological phenomena induced by the topography, and (ii) to refine the instruments to make short-term forecasts. Particular attention was devoted to the mesoscale phenomena, which are at the origin of the floods. The MAP projects and their scientific objectives were divided in the following way. Three projects were in direct support of the so-called “wet” part of MAP: the orographic precipitation mechanism, the upper tropospheric potential vorticity anomalies, and the hydrological measurements for flood forecasting. Four projects came in direct support of the “dry” part of MAP: the dynamics of gap flow, the non-stationary aspects of foehn winds in large valleys, the three-dimensional gravity wave breaking, and the potential vorticity banners. Finally, one project, devoted to the planetary boundary layer structure, came in support of both wet and dry scientific objectives.

In 2007, when most results of MAP were already published on the MAP website: <http://www.map.meteoswiss.ch/>), the MAP D-PEP (‘Demonstration of Probabilistic Hydrological and Atmospheric Simulation of flood Events in the Alpine region’) was launched. This new project, which is a Forecast Demonstration Project (FDP) of the WWRP (Weather Research Programme of WMO), aims at demonstrating some of the MAP achievements: in particular, the ability of forecasting heavy precipitation and related flooding events in the Alpine region, addressing the entire forecasting chain ranging from limited-area ensemble forecasts to high-resolution atmospheric modelling (km-scale), hydrological modelling and nowcasting to decision-making by the end users. The MAP D-PEP

where hazardous events are most common, most difficult to predict and often have the largest impacts.

7. The problem of communicating the flood risk

An important question is whether and how useful meteorologists and hydrologists actually find the new technologies in making the decision about whether or not to issue a flood warning. The problem of using innovative technologies in the best possible way has been illustrated by Morss et al. (2005). In practice, the problem is to find a balance between the desire for issuing a warning as early as possible (Harremoës et al. 2002) and the inevitable trade-off between false alarms and false negatives, that is, unforeseen flood events (see Hammond, 1996). A study by Dettinger et al. (2007) shows that flood forecasters welcome EPS predictions, but they use mainly these data to confirm their deterministic models, and not in the case in which there is a discrepancy between EPS and deterministic model predictions, they adopt the technique of “*wait and see*”. This suggests that to indicate that the continuous development of techniques is welcomed, that it is also necessary to invest in explaining directly to the targeted population how to utilize these new technologies.

8. Conclusions

This paper has described some aspects of the currently most important and most recent developments in the field of the prediction of extreme hazardous events. To pursue this end, a panoramic view of the technologies which are currently in development by the meteo-hydrological community has been described and summarized. The improvement of modelling in the prediction of natural hazards can be successful if several aspects of contemporary meteo-hydrological science are improved. Data availability is a key factor, as it allows the models to be tested and intercomparison to be made. Model parameterizations, especially those related to the surface layer and to the soil surface, must be continuously developed to improve the physical mechanisms embedded in the code (as in the MAP experiment). Moreover, the quality of a deterministic meteorological forecast can be improved by running the same model but over a coarse scale in different configurations (EPS), which will give a better description of the model output.

- Balsamo, G.P., Bouyssel, F. and Noilhan, J., 2003: A simplified bi-dimensional variational analysis of soil moisture from screen-level observations in a scale numerical weather prediction. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **130A(598)**: 895–916.
- Barnston, A.G., Kumar, A., Goddard, L. and Hoerling, M.P., 2005: Improving seasonal prediction practices through attribution of climate variability. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **86**: 59–72.
- Bartholmes, J. and Todini, E., 2005: Coupling meteorological and hydrological models for flood forecasting. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, **9(4)**: 346.
- Black, E. and Sutton, R., 2007: The influence of oceanic conditions on the European summer of 2003. *Clim dyn.*, **28(1)**: 53–66.
- Buizza, R., Houtekamer, P.L., Toth, Z., Pellerin, G., Wei, M. and Zhu, Y., 2004: A comparison of the ECMWF, MSC and NCEP global ensemble prediction systems. *Monthly Weather Review*, **133**: 1076–1097.
- Buizza, R., Bidlot, J.-R., Wedi, N., Fuentes, M., Hamrud, M., Holt, G., Palmer, T.N. and Vitart, F., 2007: The ECMWF variable resolution ensemble prediction system (VAREPS). *ECMWF Newsletters*, **108**: 14–20.
- Cassardo, C., Ruti, P.M., Cacciamani, C., Longhetto, A., Paccagnella, T. and Bargagli, A., 1997: CLIPS experiment. First step: Model intercomparison and validation against experimental data. *MAP Newsletters*, **7**: 26–27.
- Cassardo, C., Balsamo, G.P., Cacciamani, C., Cesari, D., Paccagnella, T. and Pelosini, R., 2002a: Impact of soil surface moisture initialization on rain in a limited area model: A case study of the 1995 South Ticino flash flood. *Hydrological Processes*, **16**: 1301–1317.
- Cassardo, C., Loggisci, N., Gandini, D., Qian, M.W., Niu, G.Y., Ramia, A., Pelosini, R. and Longhetto, A., 2002b: The flood of November 1995 in Piedmont, Italy: A quantitative analysis and simulation. *Hydrological Processes*, **16**: 1275–1299.
- Cassardo, C., Loggisci, N., Paesano, G., Rabuffetti, D. and Qian, M.W., 2006: The hydrological balance of the October 2006 flood in Piedmont, Italy: Quantitative analysis and simulation. *Physical Geography*, **27(2)**: 1–24.
- Cassardo, C., Mercalli, L. and Cat-Berro, D., 2007: Characteristics of the summer 2003 heat wave in Piedmont, Italy, and its effects on water resources. *Journal of the Korean Meteorological Society*, **43(3)**: 195–221.
- CSAEOS (Committee on Scientific Accomplishments of Earth Observation from Space), 2008. Earth Observations from Space: The First 50 Years of Scientific Achievements. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C., 144 pp. (available online at this site: http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=11991&page=R1, checked on April 1st, 2009)
- Demeritt, D., Cloke, H., Pappenberger, F., Thielen, J., Bartholmes, J. and R. M.-H., 2007: Ensemble predictions and perceptions of risk, uncertainty and error in flood forecasting. *Environmental Hazards*, **7**: 115–127.
- Ehrendorfer, M., 1997: Predicting the uncertainty of numerical weather forecasts. A review. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift, Neue Folge* **6**: 147–183.

- Grazzini, F., Ferranti, L., Lalaurette, F. and Vitard, F., 2003: The exceptional anomalies of summer 2003. *ECMWF Newsletter*, **99**: 2–8.
- Gupta, V.K. and Waymire, E.C., 1993: A statistical analysis of mesoscale rain as a random cascade. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, **32**: 251–267.
- Hammond, K.R., 1996: *Human Judgement and Social Policy: Irrational Uncertainty, Inevitable Error, Unavoidable Injustice*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Harremoës, P., Gee, D., MacGarvin, M., Stirling, A., Keys, J., Wynne, B. and Guedes, S. (Eds.), 2002: *The Precautionary Principle in the 20th Century: Lessons from Early Warnings*. Earthscan, London.
- IPCC, 2001: *Third assessment report, intergovernmental panel on climate change*. WMO: Press release No 695.
- Lorenz, E.N., 1963: Deterministic nonperiodic flow. *Journal of Atmospheric Science*, **20**: 130–141.
- Meehl, G.A. and Tebaldi, C., 2004: More intense, more frequent and longer heat waves in the 21st century. *Science*, **305**: 994–997.
- Morss, R.E., Wilhelmi, O.V., Dowton, M.W. and Gruntfest, E., 2005: Flood uncertainty, and scientific information for decision making: Lessons from an interdisciplinary project. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **86**: 695–701.
- Pasini, A., 2005: *From Observations to Simulations. A Conceptual Introduction to Weather and Climate Modelling*. World Scientific Publishers, Singapore.
- Schaake, J., Franz, K., Bradley, A. and Buizza, R., 2006: Hydrologic ensemble prediction experiment (HEPEX). *GEWEX Newsletter*, **15(4)**: 10.
- Shukla, J., Anderson, J., Baumhefner, D., Brankov, C., Chang, Y., Kalnay, E., Marx, L., Palmer, T., Paolino, D., Ploshay, J., Schubert, S., Straus, D., Suarez, V. and Tribbia, J., 2000: Dynamical seasonal prediction. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **81**: 2593–2606.
- US National Weather Service, 2001: Strategic Plan for Weather, Water and Climate Services 2000–2005. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Washington, DC. Updated with changes approved as of December 2007. last accessed 19 January 2008 from http://www.weather.gov/nws_strategic_plan_01-03-05.pdf.